

Application S/N 10/826,953
Amendment dated: May 11, 2006
Response to Office Action dated: February 7, 2006

CE12724JME Nestor et al.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-6 and 8-20 remain pending in the application, as claim 7 was previously canceled without prejudice. In the Office Action, claims 1, 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,233,150 to Lin, et al. (Lin). In addition, claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8-11 and 14-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,502,620 to Funck, et al. (Funck). Claim 4 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,796,583 to Gale, et al. (Gale).

Independent claims 1, 13 and 17 have been amended to clarify that the substrate has a top side and both the first shield and the second shield are mounted on the top side of the substrate. Support for the amendment can be found in FIGs. 1, 2, 4 and 5 and paragraph 0022. In contrast, the shells of Lin are coupled to opposite sides of the circuit board, and as such, the clips must wrap around the sides of the circuit board to secure the two shells together. Applicants submit that none of the other references show, describe, teach or otherwise suggest this feature.

In view of the above, Applicants believe that independent claims 1, 13 and 17 are patentable over the prior art. Applicants also believe that those claims that depend from these independent claims are now patentable, in view of both their dependence from these claims and their independent patentability. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the claims is respectfully requested. Passing of this case is now believed to be in order, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing

Application S/N 10/826,953
Amendment dated: May 11, 2006
Response to Office Action dated: February 7, 2006

CE12724JME Nestor et al.

the scope of any claim, unless Applicants have argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicants' attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any necessary fee, or credit any overpayment, to Motorola, Inc. Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department – MD 1610
8000 W. Sunrise Blvd.
Plantation, FL 33322

Customer Number: 24273

By: 

Larry G. Brown
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 45,834

Tel: (954) 723-4295 direct line
Tel: (954) 723-6449 main line
Fax No.: (954) 723-3871